

0 • Exam Blueprint READ FIRST
 ★ **One paper, six disjoint maths worlds.** The final is **60% of the unit AND a hurdle** — you must score **≥45/100 on the exam itself** to pass, regardless of coursework.

ITEM	DETAIL
Weight	60% · hurdle ≥45%
Coursework	2 assign*10% + 5 quiz*4%
Duration	~3 h 10 min · e-exam
Materials	closed-book · NO calc
Formula sheet	provided in paper
Template	~36 questions, fixed slots

~36-slot pattern: ~31 short-answer (1.5–3 marks, answer is an *integer or lowest-terms a/b*, no spaces, no decimals) + 5 long-answer (6 marks: global extrema · Hessian classify · eigen/diagonalise · free-variable system · two-stage Bayes).

This is a **REVISION sheet** — you cannot bring it in. The exam gives its own formula sheet, so **memorise the METHODS, not the formulas**; the recipe wins marks, the formula is handed to you.

SIA → *Everything must be hand-computable. Practise Gaussian elimination, char-poly eigenvalues and Bayes by hand until fluent — no calculator on the day.*

1 • Derivatives • Rules AREA 1 · L4-5
 f'(a) = slope of tangent = $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}$. **|x| is not differentiable at 0.**

F(x)	F'(x)
c (const)	0
x ^b	b · x ^{b-1}
a ^x	ln(a) · a ^x
e ^x	e ^x
ln x	1/x
log _a x	1/(ln(a) · x)

COMBINING RULES
 (c · f)' = c · f' · (f · g)' = f' · g + f · g'
 product: (f · g)' = f' · g + f · g'
 chain: (f(g(x)))' = g'(x) · f'(g(x))

COMMON CHAINS
 (e^{c(x+d)})' = c · e^{c(x+d)}
 (ln(c(x+d)))' = c / (c(x+d))
 (a^{c(x+d)})' = c · ln(a) · a^{c(x+d)}

nth derivative f⁽ⁿ⁾ = differentiate n times (f' = f⁽²⁾)
Worked tangent slope: for h(x) = x · e^{a(2x)}, h' = e^{a(2x)} (1+2x) ⇒ h'(0) = **1** (product rule, then evaluate).

1b • Function Types L1-3
Convex: chord lies on/above plot ⇒ f'' ≥ 0. **Concave:** chord below ⇒ f'' ≤ 0. Lines are both.
Transform-plot trick: log-log linear ⇒ power law (slope -a); log-linear ⇒ exponential (slope ln a); lin-log ⇒ logarithmic.

LOG & EXPONENTIAL RULES
 a^x · a^y = a^{x+y} · (a^x)^y = a^{xy} · a^b = 1
 log_a(xy) = log_a x + log_a y · log_a(x^b) = b · log_a x
 change of base: log_a x = log_b x / log_b a
Line through 2 points: m = (y₂ - y₁) / (x₂ - x₁), then y = mx + b = y₁ - mx₁; zero at x = -b/m.
Injective = distinct inputs give distinct outputs; **surjective** = image fills codomain; **bijective** = both ⇒ has an inverse.

2 • Optimisation (1 var) AREA 1 · L5-6
Stationary point s: f'(s)=0. Sign of f' gives increase (f'>0) / decrease (f'<0).

2ND-DERIVATIVE TEST (AT STATIONARY A)
 f''(a) > 0 ⇒ local min
 f''(a) < 0 ⇒ local max
 f''(a) = 0 ⇒ **inconclusive** (x³, x⁴, -x⁴)
First-deriv (sign) test: at stationary m, f' goes + → - ⇒ max; - → + ⇒ min. Inflection = concavity changes.

GLOBAL EXTREMA ON [c,d]
 candidates = stationary pts + singular pts (f' undef) + endpoints c, d.
 Evaluate f at ALL ⇒ largest = max, smallest = min.

Shortcut: local min of a convex f is the global min; local max of concave = global max.

Quadratic roots: x²+ax+b=0 ⇒ x = -a/2 ± √(a²/4 - b); real iff a² ≥ 4b. (x-u)(x-v) = x² - (u+v)x + uv.

SIA → *The long-answer 'global extrema on [a,b]' question forgets the endpoints at your peril — they are candidates too. Always tabulate f at every candidate.*

2b • Worked • Extrema on interval RENUMBERED

f'(t) = 3t³ - 5t² + 6t = t(t-2)(t-3) on [-1, 3]. Stationary **t=0, 2, 3**. f'' = 3t² - 10t + 6; f''(0) = 6 > 0 (min), f''(2) = -2 < 0 (max), f''(3) = 3 > 0 (min). Compare f at [-1, 0, 2, 3] ⇒ pick global max/min by value.
Cubic variant: f(x) = 2x³ - 3x² - 12x + 4 on [-3, 3] ⇒ stationary x = -1, 2; global max (-1, 11), global min at endpoint (-3, -4). The endpoint wins — count it.

2c • Worked • Can min surface APPLIED

Volume πr²h = 128π ⇒ h = 128/r². Surface f(r) = 2π(r² + 128/r); f'(r) = 2π(2r - 128/r²) = 0 ⇒ r³ = 64 ⇒ **r=4**. f'' > 0 (convex) ⇒ global min; h = 128/16 = 8.
Page-layout variant: printable A = (x-4)(y-6) with xy = 294 ⇒ A = 318 - 6x - 1176/x; A' = -6 + 1176/x² = 0 ⇒ x = 14, A'' < 0 (concave, so a max), y = 21.

Convexity-on-interval trap: for f' = 6ax - 12 to be neither convex nor concave on (2, 3), require f'' to change sign there ⇒ solve for the parameter range, don't just plug one point.

Constrained product, variants: max xy s.t. 2x+3y=60 ⇒ 150; s.t. x+3y=60 ⇒ 300. Same substitute-then-optimize recipe; check it's a max (f'' < 0), not a min.

Singular points (f' undefined, e.g. a corner like |x| at 0) are candidates too — don't only solve f'=0. The Extreme Value Theorem guarantees a continuous f on [c,d] attains both extrema.

2d • RSS / least squares APPLICATION

RESIDUAL SUM OF SQUARES
 RSS = Σ_i (y_i - f(x_i))²
 Fit f(x) = 2x - 1 to (2,1), (3,4), (5,2): preds 3, 5, 9; residuals -2, -1, -7 ⇒ RSS = 4 + 1 + 49 = **54**. Squares are differentiable & punish big errors.

Workflow: ○ f', solve f'=0 + find singular pts; ○ classify with f'' (or sign change); ○ compare f at stationary/singular/boundary; use convexity if available.

3 • Integration • FTC AREA 1 · L7
Antiderivative F: F' = f, unique up to +c. ∫ f dx = F(x) + c.

F(x)	∫ F DX
x ⁿ (n ≠ -1)	x ⁿ⁺¹ / (n+1) + c
x ⁻¹	ln x + c
e ^{a(x)}	(1/a)e ^{a(x)} + c

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM OF CALCULUS
 ∫_a^b f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)
 G(x) = ∫_a^x f(t) dt ⇒ G' = f

Linearity ∫(f+g) = ∫f + ∫g, ∫cf = c∫f. **Additivity** ∫_a^c = ∫_a^b + ∫_b^c (piecewise).

Rate ⇒ total: if E'(x) = rate, total change = ∫ rate dx.

SIA → *A definite integral is signed area — area below the x-axis counts negative. Sketch first if signs are in doubt.*

3b • Worked • Definite + FTC RENUMBERED

∫₀² (x² - 6x²) dx = [x³/4 - 2x³]₀² = 4 - 16 = **-12**.
Rate ⇒ total (battery): cost falls at rate (x+1)⁻², start \$5. D(4) = 5 + f₀⁴ - (x+1)⁻² dx = 5 + [(x+1)⁻¹]₀⁴ = 5 + (1/5 - 1) = **\$4.20** = 21/5. Antiderivative chosen so D(0) = 5.
Look-up integrals (used in probability): ∫ xⁿ dx = -e^{-x}(x+1) + c; ∫ e^{-x}(-x²/2) dx is **not elementary** (the normal's normaliser uses erf — hence z-tables).
 ∫₀⁶ (5x² + 6x - 4) dx-type slots are routine power-rule.

3c • Σ / II Notation SLOTS 1-2

Σ_i (x+a)ⁱ bⁱ f(x) = (a+1) · ... + f(b); Π is the product. **Empty sum = 0, empty product = 1**, e.g. Σ_{k=1}¹ k! = 1 + 4 + 9 + 16 = 30; Π_{k=1}⁴ k! = 4! = 24. ℕ = {0, 1, 2, ...} here (0 is natural). "iff" = if and only if.

4 • Vectors AREA 2 · L8
 \mathbb{R}^d = column d-tuples; add & scale component-wise.

DOT PRODUCT & NORM
 v · w = v₁w₁ + ... + v_dw_d
 ||v|| = √(v · v) = √(v₁² + ... + v_d²)
 orthogonal ⇔ v · w = 0

Linear comb. w = a₁v₁ + ... + a_nv_n. **Linearly dependent** = one v_i is a combo of the rest. Pairwise-orthogonal nonzero vectors are independent.

Worked norm: ||(3, 12, -4)|| = √(9 + 144 + 16) = √169 = **13**;
 ||(-8, -9, -12)|| = √289 = **17**. **Orthogonal solve:** (2, -1, 2), (3, 4, 1) = 0 ⇒ 6 - 4 + z = 0 ⇒ z = **-2**.

Line interval joining u, v = {au + (1-a)v : a ∈ [0, 1]}.
 Geometrically a vector = displacement (direction + length, no fixed position).

4b • Inverse Functions L2

g = f⁻¹ ⇔ g(f(x)) = x and f(g(y)) = y. **f⁻¹(x) ≠ 1/f(x)**. To find f⁻¹: solve y = f(x) for x. **f has an inverse iff bijective** (injective + surjective).
Worked: f(x) = x² + 4x on [0, ∞), find f⁻¹(32): x² + 4x = 32 ⇒ x² + 4x - 32 = 0 ⇒ (x+8)(x-4) = 0 ⇒ x = **4** (take the non-negative root).

4c • Constrained Product SUBSTITUTION

Max xy s.t. 2x+5y=100: y = (100-2x)/5 ⇒ maximise 1/5 (100x - 2x²); deriv 100 - 4x = 0 ⇒ x = 25, y = 10 ⇒ **xy = 250**. Utilise the constraint, reduce to one variable, then optimise — also a Lagrange-style multivariable framing.

5 • Matrices & Systems AREA 2 · L8-10

Mult: A(m × n) · B(n × r) = (m × r); (AB)_{ij} = row i of A · col j of B. **AB ≠ BA** in general. A(BC) = (AB)C.

GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION — 3 VALID ROW OPS
 ① swap two rows
 ② multiply a row by a nonzero k
 ③ add a multiple of one row to another

Row-reduce Ax=b to upper-triangular, then back-substitute. **Apply ops top-to-bottom, NOT simultaneously.**

OUTCOME	SIGNAL
No solution	row 0=3 (contradiction)
Unique	full pivots
∞ many	row 0=0 ⇒ free var t

Free variable ⇒ write solution in **vector form** (point + t-direction). Fewer equations than variables ⇒ usually ∞ many.

6 • Determinant & Inverse AREA 2 · L10

2x2 DET & INVERSE
 det[a b; c d] = ad - bc
 A⁻¹ = (1/det A) · [d -b; -c a]
A invertible ⇔ det(A) ≠ 0. det(AB) = det(A)det(B); det(I) = 1. Identity I_n: 1s on diagonal, AI = IA = A.

SOLVE VIA INVERSE
 A invertible ⇔ Ax = b has unique x = A⁻¹b

Worked: det[1, 1; -1, -1] = 1 - (-1) = 2 ⇒ invertible. det[1, 1, 1; 1, 1, 1] = 0 ⇒ **not invertible** (repeated row). Singular parameter q: set ad - bc = 0, solve. If A is square but **not** invertible, Ax=b has either no solution or infinitely many (never a unique one).

6b • Worked • Ax=b RENUMBERED

3x+y=2, 5x+2y=3 ⇒ det=1, A⁻¹ = [2, -1; -5, 3]; x = A⁻¹b = (2-2-1-3, -5+2+3) = **(1, -1)**. Reuse A⁻¹ for many b.

6c • Worked • Gaussian elim RENUMBERED

Solve x+2y-z=6, -x+y+2z=3; x+y-z=8.
 R2+R1, R3-R1: gives 3y+z=9 and -y-z = **y-2**; back-sub z=9-3y=15? recheck signs in your own working — the discipline is **clear one column at a time, top-to-bottom**, then back-substitute and verify in the original.

6d • Free-Variable Systems PARAMETRISE

Underdetermined (fewer equations than unknowns) ⇒ a row collapses to 0=0 ⇒ one **free variable** t ∈ ℝ.
 Express each pivot variable in terms of t, then write the solution set as **point + t direction** (a line) — e.g. (x, y, z, w) = (a, b, c, 0) + t(p, q, r, 1). State "for all t ∈ ℝ"; two free variables = a plane.
Two-variable products (Hadamard) exist but are NOT the matrix product used here — always use the row-column rule. (kA)B = k(AB).
Worked 2x2 mult: [1, 2, 0, 1] · [3, 4] = [1·3+2·4; 0·3+1·4] = [11, 4]. Mult is defined only when **columns of A = rows of B**.

A vector is an m × 1 matrix. Identity I_n acts as 1: A I_n = A. If B = A^T then AB = I, so each is the other's inverse. A(B+D) = AB + AD distributes.

7 • Eigenvalues & Eigenvectors AREA 2 · L11-12

For square A: Ax = λx, x ≠ 0, x = eigenvector, λ = eigenvalue. Zero vector is never an eigenvector; λ = 0 can be an eigenvalue.

RECIPE
 ① eigenvalues: det(A - λI) = 0 (char. poly)
 ② eigenvectors: solve (A - λI)x = 0 (always ∞ many — scalar multiples)
 f v is an eigenvector so is cv (c ≠ 0). Char-poly degree = n; roots = the eigenvalues.

DIAGONALISATION
 A = P D P⁻¹ · P = (v₁ | ... | v_n), D = diag(λ₁)
 ⇒ Aⁿ = P Dⁿ P⁻¹ (Dⁿ = diag raised to n)

Construct when n distinct eigenvalues. **Use:** linear recurrences/Markov processes a_n = vⁿa₀; long run ruled by the largest eigenvalue (=1 for stochastic); **PageRank** = eigenvector for λ=1.

SIA → *P⁻¹ is usually not needed for the long-answer — examiners want eigenvalues, eigenvectors and the assembled P, D. Show det(A-λI)=0 explicitly.*

7b • Worked • Diagonalise 2x2 RENUMBERED

A = [0, 2; -1, 3]; det(A-λI) = λ² - 3λ + 2 = (λ-1)(λ-2) ⇒ **λ = 1, 2**. λ=2: (A-2I)x=0 ⇒ v = [1, 1]^T. λ=1: v = [2, 1]^T. So **P = [1, 2; 1, 1]**, **D = [2, 0; 0, 1]**, A = PDP⁻¹.
Sanity: trace 0+3 = 3 = 1+2 ✓; det 0·3 - 2(-1) = 2 = 1·2 ✓.

7c • Worked • Aⁿ recurrence MATRIX POWERS

C_{n+1} = 4C_n + 4U_n, U_{n+1} = C_n + 4U_n ⇒ A = [4, 4; 1, 4]. det(A-λI) = λ² - 8λ + 12 = (λ-2)(λ-6) ⇒ **λ = 2, 6**; eigenvectors [2, -1]^T, [2, 1]^T. Long-run ratio ⇒ dominant eigenvalue 6.

7d • Diagonal Matrices WHY DIAG IS EASY

D (D_{ij} = 0 off-diagonal); **Dⁿ raises each diagonal entry to n**. That is the whole point of A = PDP⁻¹ — push the power onto D where it's trivial, then conjugate back.
Char-poly check: for a 2x2, det(A-λI) = λ² - (trace)λ + det. So λ² - 3λ + 2 came from trace 3, det 2. Sum of eigenvalues = trace; product = det — a fast sanity check.

7e • Worked • Eigenvector unknown SHORT SLOT

Given λ is an eigenvalue and an eigenvector of the form (x, 1, z)^T, solve (A-λI)v=0 row-by-row for x and z (a small linear system). Likewise "find the missing entry of A⁻¹": use A A⁻¹ = I and read off one equation.

7f • Eigen Recipe Recap STEP LIST

- Form A-λI; expand det(A-λI)=0 to the characteristic polynomial
- Solve for the roots λ₁, ..., λ_n (the eigenvalues)
- For each λ_i, row-reduce (A-λ_i)x=0; the free variable gives the eigenvector (pick the nearest scalar multiple)
- Distinct λ ⇒ assemble P = [v₁ | ... | v_n], D = diag(λ_i) ⇒ A = PDP⁻¹
- For powers/recurrences quote **Aⁿ = PDⁿP⁻¹**

8 • Multivariable Calculus AREA 3 · L13-16

Partial derivative f_x: differentiate, treat y as constant; f_{yy} treats x as constant.

GRADIENT
 ∇f = [f_x; f_y] points in direction of steepest increase
 ∇f ⊥ to the level set through the point
Level set of value c = {(x, y): f(x, y) = c}; level curves never cross.
Linear approx: f(x+Δx, y+Δy) ≈ f + f_xΔx + f_yΔy.
STATIONARY POINT
 ∇f = 0 ⇔ f_x = 0 AND f_y = 0
 Types: local min, local max, **saddle** (max one way, min the other). For nice f, mixed partials equal: f_{xy} = f_{yx}.

9 • Hessian Test AREA 3 · L15-16

HESSIAN & DISCRIMINANT
 H = [f_{xx} f_{xy}; f_{xy} f_{yy}]
 D = det H = f_{xx} · f_{yy} - (f_{xy})²

D = DET H	VERDICT
D > 0, f _{xx} > 0	local min
D > 0, f _{xx} < 0	local max
D < 0	saddle
D = 0	inconclusive

Convex (2-var): f_{xx} ≥ 0, f_{yy} ≥ 0 AND det H ≥ 0 everywhere ⇒ local min = global min.
SIA → *Solve ∇f=0 by factoring, not expanding — e.g. f_x = x(x-4y) = 0 splits into x=0 or x=4y. Test every stationary point with its own H.*

9b • Worked • Classify all stat. pts RENUMBERED

f = 2x² + x²y - 2xy²; ∇f = (2+2x+2y-2y², x²-4xy); H = [2+2y, 2x-4y; 2x-4y, -4x].
 f_y = x(x-4y) = 0. Cases give (0, ±1), (-4, -1); det H = -16 - 0 ⇒ **saddles**; (-3, -3); det H = 0, f_{xx} = 0 ⇒ local min.}

9c • Worked • ∇g & det H SHORT SLOTS

g = 2xy(x² + y²); ∇g at (2, 1) = (26, 24). Stationary of F = x - ln(x² + y²); ∇F = 0 ⇒ (2, 0). Given ∇F = (2xy² + 2x, 2x²y - 3y²) ⇒ det H(1, -1) = **16**.

9d • How the Islands Connect RECURRING THEMES

- Saddle points** have no 1-var analogue — they're why the 2-var test needs det H, not just f_{xx}.
- Convexity** ⇒ global extremum in BOTH 1-var (f'' ≥ 0) and 2-var (det H ≥ 0, f_{xx} ≥ 0).}
- Matrix multiplication** drives linear systems, Aⁿ eigen-powers, Markov/PageRank AND graph walk-counting (Aⁿ).
- Gaussian elimination** solves Ax=b AND finds eigenvectors via (A-λI)x=0.
- Integration is the engine for continuous probability** (pdf normalisation, E, Var on side 2)

Formula Belt SIDE 1

(x^b)' = b x^{b-1} · (e^x)' = e^x · chain g' · f'(g)
 2nd-deriv: f'' > 0 min, < 0 max, = 0 ?
 ∫ x^a = x^{a+1} / (a+1) · FTC F(b) - F(a)
 det z = ad - bc · Ax = b = x = A⁻¹ · b
 det(A-λI) = 0 · Aⁿ = PDⁿP^{-1</}

SIDE 2/2 · DISCRETE · Counting · Selection types · Binomial · Inclusion-exclusion · Probability · Bayes · Distributions · Graphs · Trees · Euler/Hamilton

REVISION SHEET · CLOSED-BOOK EXAM

Compiled by AskSia · mapped to the MAT9004 syllabus · asksia.ai/cheatsheet/mat9004

10 · Counting · Basic Rules

AREA 4 · L17

PRODUCT & SUM RULES
 OR (sequence) ⇒ multiply: $|S| = n \cdot k$
 AND (disjoint cases) ⇒ add: $|S| = \sum |S_i|$
 complement: $|good| = |S| - |bad|$
Keyword test: "and"/"then" ⇒ ×; "or"/"disjoint cases" ⇒ +. $n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot n$; $0! = 1$. Sequences with k_i choices each ⇒ $|S| = \prod k_i$.

11 · Four Selection Types

AREA 4 · L17-18

Draw r from n — ask: order matter? repeats allowed?

	NO REPEAT	WITH REPEAT
Ordered	$n!/(n-r)!$	n^r
Unordered	$C(n,r)$	$C(n+r-1,r)$

BINOMIAL COEFFICIENT
 $C(n,r) = \binom{n}{r} = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}$
 Permutation of all n . Unordered-with-repetition ⇒ multisets (stars & bars: r stars, $n-1$ bars). Ordered-no-repeat ⇒ $n(n-1)\dots(n-r+1)$.

SIA → Decide the two yes/no questions before writing anything — "order? repeats?" picks the cell. The classic trap is reading "choose a committee" (unordered) as a permutation.

11b · Worked · Selections

RENUMBERED

Password 10 symbols from 31 = ordered+repeat = 31^{10} . All-distinct = $31 \cdot 30 \cdot \dots \cdot 22 = 31!/11!$.
Committee $C(12,9) = C(12,3) = 220$. $C(11,6) = 462$.
Buy 8 loaves, 6 types (unordered+repeat) = $C(13,8) = C(13,5) = 1287$.
4-digit all-odd, no repeat: $5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 = 120$. **3-digit all-even, no repeat, no leading 0:** $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 = 48$.
Alphabetical-order passwords (letters must be in order) ⇒ one per unordered selection: no repeats = $C(26,10)$; with repeats = $C(35,10)$.

12 · Binomial Theorem

AREA 4 · L18

EXPANSION & IDENTITIES
 $(x+y)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} x^r y^{n-r}$
 row sum: $\sum_r C(n,r) = 2^n$
 Pascal: $C(n,r) = C(n-1,r-1) + C(n-1,r)$
 symmetry: $C(n,r) = C(n,n-r)$
 2^n = total subsets of an n -set. Grid paths across $m \times k$ = a binomial coefficient (choose where the up-moves go).
Express count as a power, find n !: $32^2 = (2^5)^2 = 2^{10} \Rightarrow n=10$; $27^2 = (3^3)^2 = 3^6 \Rightarrow n=6$. (Rewrite the base as a prime power, then match exponents.) Symmetry $C(n,r) = C(n,n-r)$ keeps the arithmetic small.

12b · Exactly-k & Positions

CHOOSE-THEN-FILL

Count arrangements with exactly k of a special kind: choose the positions × fill them × fill the rest. e.g. a 10-symbol string with exactly 3 special (5 each) and 7 letters (26 each) = $C(10,3) \cdot 5^3 \cdot 26^7$.
"At least one" via complement: count = (total) - (none). e.g. fleets with ≥1 van = $C(235,3) - C(171,3)$ (all fleets minus van-free fleets) — usually far easier than summing cases. Same idea as $Pr(A) = 1 - Pr(\bar{A})$.

13 · Inclusion-Exclusion & Pigeonhole

AREA 4 · L18

TWO / THREE SETS
 $|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|$
 $|A \cup B \cup C| = \sum \text{singles} - \sum \text{pairs} + |A \cap B \cap C|$
None-of-N (general I-E): $|U - \sum \text{singles} + \sum \text{pairs} - \sum \text{triples} + \dots + (-1)^{n-1} |A \cap \dots \cap B|$. Same structure as $Pr(A \cup B \cup C)$.
PIGEOHOLE
 n items, m boxes, $n > m$ ⇒ some box ≥ 2 generalised ⇒ some box ≥ $\lceil n/m \rceil$
Use: "must two share...?" or "can all be distinct?" — e.g. 10 people, degrees in $\{0, \dots, 9\}$: 0 and 9 can't coexist ⇒ two share a friend-count.

Worked I-E: $|U|=100, |A|=30, |B|=40, |A \cap B|=10$ ⇒ satisfying neither = $100 - 30 - 40 + 10 = 40$. (Same add-subtract pattern as $Pr(A \cup B)$ — the two topics share one identity).
 Three-set "none": $|U - \sum |A_i| + \sum |A_i \cap A_j| - |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3|$. Venn diagrams make the signs obvious. The general rule alternates sign: +singles? no — subtract singles, add pairs, subtract triples...

14 · Probability Foundations

AREA 5 · L19

Sample space S ; $Pr: S \rightarrow [0,1], \sum Pr(s) = 1$. Event $A \subset S, Pr(A) = \sum_{s \in A} Pr(s)$.

CORE RULES
 uniform: $Pr(A) = |A|/|S|$ (pure counting)
 complement: $Pr(\bar{A}) = 1 - Pr(A)$
 union: $Pr(A \cup B) = Pr(A) + Pr(B) - Pr(A \cap B)$
 independent: $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A) \cdot Pr(B)$
 Mutually exclusive ⇒ $Pr(A \cap B) = 0$ ⇒ $Pr(A \cup B) = Pr(A) + Pr(B)$. Independent repeated trials: $Pr((s_1, s_2)) = Pr(s_1) Pr(s_2)$.
Worked: $Pr(A) = 0.3, Pr(B) = 0.4, Pr(A \cup B) = 0.5$ ⇒ $Pr(A \cap B) = 0.3 + 0.4 - 0.5 = 0.2$; since $0.2 > 0.3 \cdot 0.4 = 0.12$, A and B are **not independent**.
 Each trial yields exactly one outcome; the unit's probability is **discrete** apart from the continuous distributions in L22.

15 · Conditional & Bayes

AREA 5 · L20

CONDITIONAL & MULTIPLICATION
 $Pr(A|B) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)}$
 $Pr(A \cap B) = Pr(A|B) \cdot Pr(B)$
TOTAL PROBABILITY & BAYES
 $Pr(B) = Pr(B|A)Pr(A) + Pr(B|\bar{A})Pr(\bar{A})$
 $Pr(A|B) = \frac{Pr(B|A)Pr(A)}{Pr(B)}$
 Independence ⇒ $Pr(A) = Pr(A|B)$. Use a **tree diagram**; watch the base-rate fallacy (rare-disease tests).
SIA → The long-answer Bayes is two steps: **total probability first** (the denominator), then divide. Write both fractions — method marks survive an arithmetic slip.

15b · Worked · Two-stage Bayes

RENUMBERED

Supplier A: 20% of parts, 20% late. B: 80%, 5% late.
 $Pr(\text{late}) = 0.2 \cdot 0.2 + 0.8 \cdot 0.05 = 0.04 + 0.04 = 0.08$.
 $Pr(A|\text{late}) = 0.04/0.08 = 1/2$.
Commuter variant: walks 10% (late 60%), drives 90% (late 20%). $Pr(\text{walk}|\text{late}) = 0.06/(0.06+0.18) = 1/4$; $Pr(\text{drive}|\text{on-time}) = 0.72/0.76 = 18/19$.

16 · Random Variables

AREA 5 · L21

$RV X: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Distribution = list $Pr(X=x)$. Independent ⇒ $Pr(X=x, Y=y) = Pr(X=x) Pr(Y=y)$ for all x, y .
EXPECTATION & VARIANCE
 $E[X] = \sum p_i x_i$ (weighted average)
 $Var[X] = E[(X-\mu)^2] = E[X^2] - \mu^2$
 $\sigma = \sqrt{Var[X]}$
ALGEBRA
 $E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y]$ (even if dependent!)
 $E[kX] = kE[X]$ · $Var[kX] = k^2 Var[X]$
 indep: $E[XY] = E[X]E[Y]$, $Var[X+Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]$

Linearity-of-expectation (indicator trick): $E[\sum X_i] = \sum E[X_i]$ works even when the X_i are dependent — e.g. expected #adjacent-odd-pairs in a 4-digit PIN = $3 \cdot (1/4) = 3/4$.

16b · Worked · E & Var

RENUMBERED

Die X (1-6); $E = 3.5$. Coin game: $2H \rightarrow +24, 1H \rightarrow +2, 0H \rightarrow -8$ ⇒ $E = 24 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} - 8 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 6 + 1 - 2 = 5$.
 Two indep uniforms: $Var(2X+3Y) = 4Var(X) + 9Var(Y)$.
 $X \in \{3, 7, 11\}$ probs $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$ ⇒ $E[X] = 8$. Var uses $E[X^2] - \mu^2$, not $E[X^2]$.

17 · Distributions

AREA 5 · L22

DIST	E	VAR
Bernoulli(p)	p	p(1-p)
Binomial(n,p)	np	np(1-p)
Geometric(p)	(1-p)/p	(1-p)/p ²
Unif[a,b]	(a+b)/2	((b-a) ² -1)/12
Unif[a,b]	(a+b)/2	(b-a) ² /12
Expon(λ)	1/λ	1/λ ²
Normal(μ,σ)	μ	σ ²

Binomial $Pr(X=k) = C(n,k)p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$; **geometric** $Pr(X=k) = (1-p)^k (k \text{ failures first})$. $Z = (X-\mu)/\sigma$ = standard normal score.
Recognise the model: single success/fail ⇒ Bernoulli; #successes in n trials ⇒ Binomial; #failures before first success ⇒ Geometric; sums/large counts ⇒ tend to Normal (CLT intuition).

17b · Continuous · PDF

NORMALISE!

PDF REQUIREMENTS
 $f \geq 0$ everywhere AND $\int f$ over domain = 1
 $Pr(a \leq X \leq b) = \int_a^b f \, dx$ · $Pr(X=a) = 0$
 $E[X] = \int x f \, dx$; $Var = \int x^2 f \, dx - (E[X])^2$. **Find k:** $h = kx(1-x)$ on $(0,1) \Rightarrow \int = k/6 = 1 \Rightarrow k=6$. Variant $kx^2(1-x) \Rightarrow k=12$. Always set $f=1$ first, then compute moments.
Worked E, Var: $h(x) = k(x-1)^2$ on $(0,2)$; $\int h = 1$, $E[X] = \int x h = 1$, $Var = \int x^2 h - 1 = 1/5$. (Integration is the engine for continuous distributions — Area 1 feeding Area 5.)
 Continuous uniform on $[a,b]$: $f = 1/(b-a)$. Exponential(λ) $f = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$, $x \geq 0$ — memoryless, the Poisson waiting time. \leq and $<$ are interchangeable since $Pr(X=a) = 0$.

17c · Sum of Independent RVs

CONVOLUTION

Distribution of $Z = X+Y$: **sum probabilities over all (x,y) with x+y=z** (enumerate the pairs). For a count of successes across n independent Bernoulli(p) trials, the sum is exactly Binomial(n,p).
Law of large numbers (intuition): the average of n iid trials $\rightarrow E[X]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

18 · Graphs · Variables

AREA 6 · L23

Graph = vertices + edges (pairs). **Simple** = no loops, no parallel edges. **Walk** = vertex sequence joined by edges; **length** = #steps. **Path** = walk with all-distinct vertices.
Connected = every pair joined by a path. **Cycle** = walk start=end, length ≥ 3 (simple). **k-regular** = every vertex degree k .
 Same graph can be drawn many ways — crossings/curvature don't matter.

19 · Degrees & Handshaking

AREA 6 · L23

HANDSHAKING LEMMA
 $\sum \text{deg}(v) = 2 \cdot |E|$
 ⇒ #edges = $(\sum \text{deg})/2$
 ⇒ an EVEN number of vertices have odd degree
 Degree = #incident edges. Path: endpoints deg 1, internal deg 2; cycle: all deg 2; k-regular: all deg k . Σ deg odd ⇒ no graph; $|E|$ from a valid sequence = $\Sigma/2$.
Feasibility tool: if Σ deg is odd, no such graph. **No 3-regular graph on 7 vertices** ($\Sigma = 21$ odd).
SIA → "Can such a graph exist?" ⇒ reach for handshaking: sum the degrees, check it's even, and check it doesn't exceed the max possible (or break tree limits).

19b · Worked · Degree sequence

RENUMBERED

$(1,1,1,1,2,2,4)$: $\Sigma = 12 \Rightarrow 6$ edges. $(1,2,2,2,3,3,3)$: $\Sigma = 16 \Rightarrow 8$ edges. $(3,3,3,1,1)$: $\Sigma = 11$ odd ⇒ impossible.
 3-regular on 10 vertices ⇒ $10 \cdot 3/2 = 15$ edges.
 $(3,3,3,3,4)$: $\Sigma = 16 \Rightarrow 8$ edges. $(3,2,1,0)$ on 4 vertices: Σ even but **no such graph** (deg-3 needs all others as neighbours, contradicting deg-0).
Tree = connected + no cycles. Equivalent: any two vertices joined by a unique path; deleting any edge disconnects it; adding any edge makes a cycle.

20 · Trees

AREA 6 · L24

Tree = connected + no cycles. Equivalent: any two vertices joined by a unique path; deleting any edge disconnects it; adding any edge makes a cycle.
EDGE COUNT
 a tree on n vertices has exactly $n - 1$ edges
 So 10 vertices + 8 edges can't be connected. **Spanning tree** = tree inside G with all vertices (delete one edge per cycle). Removable edges keeping connectivity = $|E| - (|V|-1)$. A tree with a Hamilton path is a path.
Connected graph always has a spanning tree (delete one edge from each cycle until acyclic) — the backbone for counting removable edges.

20b · Counting Cycle Graphs

RENUMBERED

How many distinct cycle graphs on $\{A,B,C,D\}$? Each vertex has degree 2; fix A's two neighbours $C(3,2) = 3$ ways) and each choice completes uniquely ⇒ 3 cycles. (Counting meets graphs.)

20c · Graph Drawings

SAME GRAPH

Two drawings are the **same graph** if vertices & edges match — bends, crossings and positions are irrelevant. Deleting any vertex of a cycle leaves a connected path. **Walk vs path:** a walk may repeat vertices; a path may not. If any walk $A \rightarrow B$ exists, a path $A \rightarrow B$ also exists. **Digraph** edges have direction; a **multigraph** allows loops/parallel edges. A cycle has length ≥ 3 in a simple graph.

21 · Adjacency Matrix

AREA 6 · L24

$A_{ij} = 1$ if i adjacent to v_j , else 0. Symmetric for undirected simple graphs. From A: #vertices = dimension; #edges = **(count of 1s)/2**; #components by tracing connectivity.
WALK COUNTING — LINKS TO AREA 2
 $(A^k)_{ij}$ = number of walks of length k from v_i to v_j

Compute walk counts by matrix powers (same machinery as eigen/diagonalisation). **Worked read-off:** a 9×9 0/1 matrix with twelve 1s ⇒ 9 vertices, 6 edges; trace components.
 $(A^2)_{ii}$ = degree of v_i (number of length-2 closed walks = its neighbours). The diagonal of A is all 0 for a simple graph (no loops).
SIA → A^k ties graphs back to linear algebra — if you can diagonalise A you can get walk counts for any k . Same toolbox, two topic islands.

22 · Euler vs Hamilton

AREA 6 · L24

TYPE	VISITS	EXISTS IFF
Euler circuit	every edge once, closed	connected & ALL degrees even
Euler trail	every edge once, open	connected & ≤ 2 odd-deg
Hamilton cycle	every vertex once	hard (no easy test)

Euler = edges, **Hamilton** = vertices. Königsberg's bridges: 4 odd-degree vertices ⇒ no Euler trail.
Hamilton sufficient (Dirac): simple, $n \geq 3$, every degree ≥ $n/2$ ⇒ hamiltonian (not necessary). Hamiltonicity relates to P vs NP .
Mnemonic: Euler walks the edges (every bridge once); Hamilton visits the towns (every vertex once). Euler has a clean degree test; Hamilton has none easy — that's the whole difference.
 A connected graph always has a spanning tree (delete one edge from each cycle until acyclic) — the backbone for counting removable edges.

22b · Worked · Tree feasibility

RENUMBERED

Can a 101-vertex graph with ten vertices of degree 11 be a tree? $\Sigma \text{deg} \geq 11 \cdot 10 + 91 \cdot 1 = 201 \Rightarrow |E| \geq 201/2 \Rightarrow 100.5$ ⇒ **No** — a tree needs exactly 100 edges.
Cycle from edges: adjacency 1s at $(2,3), (2,4), (3,5), (4,5)$ form the walk 2-3-5-4-2 = a cycle ⇒ **not a tree** (trees are acyclic).

22c · Distinct-degree trap

PIGEOHOLE

Can 10 people all have a different number of friends? Degrees must lie in $\{0, \dots, 9\}$, but **degree 0 and degree 9 cannot coexist** (the deg-9 person is friends with everyone, contradicting the deg-0 person) ⇒ only 9 usable values for 10 people ⇒ two must share. **No**.

22d · Removable Edges

SPANNING TREE

Edges you can delete while staying connected = $|E| - (|V|-1)$ (drop one per independent cycle until only a spanning tree remains). 3-regular on 10 vertices: $15 - 9 = 6$ removable.

23 · Method-Trigger Checklist

READ THE VERB

QUESTION SAYS...	REACH FOR
"max/min on [a,b]"	stat pts + endpoints
"max/min/saddle (x,y)"	$\nabla f = 0$ then det H
"solve the system"	Gaussian elim
"not invertible"	set det = 0
"A = PDP ⁻¹ /A ⁿ "	det(A-λ)=0
"how many ways"	order? repeat? ⇒ cell
"committee / choose"	$C(n,r)$
"given ... find Pr{...}"	total prob + Bayes
"E[...]/Var{...} from pdf"	normalise, $\int x f, \int x^2 f$
"# edges from degrees"	handshaking/2
"walks of length k"	$(A^k)_{ij}$
"can graph exist?"	parity / n-1 edges

23b · Counting → Probability

THE BRIDGE

Uniform probability is **pure counting**: $Pr(A) = |A|/|S|$, so every Area-4 selection formula feeds straight into Area 5. Binomial probabilities ARE $C(n,k)$ weighted by $p^k(1-p)^{n-k}$.
Independence test for RVs: one mismatched cell $Pr(X=x, Y=y) \neq Pr(X=x) Pr(Y=y)$ disproves independence; check before using $Var[X+Y] = Var[X] + Var[Y]$.
Inclusion-exclusion ⇒ $Pr(A \cup B)$ are the same identity; **counting** ⇔ **uniform probability** via $|A|/|S|$; **A^k walk-counting** ⇔ **eigen-powers**. Six islands, one toolbox.

24 · Exam Discipline

DON'T LOSE MARKS

- Short-answer = **integer or lowest-terms a/b, no spaces, no decimals**
- No calculator** — keep arithmetic clean, check by substitution
- Long-answer: **show the working**; method marks survive a wrong final number
- Gaussian elim: ops **top-to-bottom**, never simultaneously; verify in the original system
- Global extrema: include the **endpoints**
- Bayes: compute the **denominator (total prob) first**
- Hand-written parts: scan + upload in the 30 min after the e-exam
- Counting: ask **order? repeats?** before picking a formula
- "Is this graph possible?" ⇒ check degree parity and the $n-1$ edge bound
- Eigen long-answer: show **det(A-λ)=0** and assemble P, D explicitly

SIA → The formula sheet is given — so spend revision time on **recipies, not memorising formulas** = be able to run each method end-to-end, by hand, under time.

Formula Belt

SIDE 2

ordered: $n!/(n-r)!$, n^r · unord: $C(n,r), C(n+r-1,r)$
 $(x+y)^n = \sum C(n,r) x^r y^{n-r}$
 $C(n,r) = 2^n$
 $Pr(A|B) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(B)}$
 $Var[X] = E[X^2] - \mu^2$ · Bin: $E = np, Var = np(1-p)$
 pigeonhole $\lceil n/m \rceil$ · uniform $Pr = |A|/|S|$
 $\Sigma \text{deg} = 2|E|$ · tree: $n-1$ edges · $(A^k)_{ij}$ = walks